1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 9 OCEANGATE INC., No. ____ 10 Plaintiff, vs. 11 NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF DAVID LOCHRIDGE and CAROLE 12 **ACTION** REID LOCHRIDGE, and the marital 13 community composed thereof, 14 Defendants. 15 TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT: 16 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, Defendants 17 David Lochridge and Carole Reid Lochridge ("Defendants") hereby file this Notice of Removal 18 of this case from the Superior Court of the State of Washington in and for the County of 19 Snohomish, case number 18-2-05651031, where it is currently pending, to the United States 20 21 District Court for the Western District of Washington, Seattle Division. This case is removable 22 to this Honorable Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the parties are citizens of different 23 states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of costs or interest. See 24 Exhibit A, Complaint. 25 In support of such removal, Defendants respectfully show the Court as follows: 26

> Law Offices of Alex J. Higgins 2200 Sixth Ave., Suite 500 Seattle, WA 98121 (206) 340-4856

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

25 26

A. Procedural Matters

- Plaintiff instituted this civil action in the Superior Court of the State of Washington, Snohomish County, on June 26, 2018.
- 2. The first date upon which Defendants received a copy of the said complaint was June 29, 2018, when Defendants were served copies of the summons and complaint from the said state court. A true and copy of the summons is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.
- 3. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), this Notice of Removal is filed within thirty (30) days after service of the initial pleading on Defendants upon which such action is based.
- 4. Intradistrict Assignment: The United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, Seattle Division, is the federal judicial district embracing the Court of the Superior Court of the State of Washington, Snohomish County, where this suit was originally filed. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 128(b) and 1441(a), and LCR 3(d), assignment in the Seattle Division for the Western District of Washington is proper.

B. Plaintiff's Allegations

- 5. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant David Lochridge violated the terms of his employment agreement by allegedly discussing matters related to his employment with Vulcan and other OceanGate employees. Complaint at ¶¶ 19, 32.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that it spent \$16,267 on legal fess associated with immigration applications for Defendants. Complaint at ¶ 23. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant David Lochridge manufactured a reason to be terminated after the immigration process was completed.
- 7. Plaintiff brings claims for breach of contract, fraud, unjust enrichment, conversion, injunctive relief, and misappropriate of trade secrets. Complaint at ¶¶ 34-61.

C. The Court had jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims

- 8. Complete diversity of parties exists in this case, as Plaintiff is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business in Washington, and Defendants are citizens of Texas. *See* Exhibit C, Declaration of Higgins.
 - 9 The total amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. See Declaration of Higgins.
- 10. Accordingly, the Court has jurisdiction over this claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

D. Miscellaneous

- 11. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1446(d), written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal will be given to the Plaintiff and to the Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of Washington in and for the County of Snohomish.
 - 12. The prerequisites for removal under 28 U.S.C. § 1441 have been met.
- The allegations of this Notice are true and correct this cause is removable to United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, Seattle Division.

WHEREFORE, Defendants, by and through their counsel, and through the filing of this Notice of Removal, the giving written notice thereof to Plaintiff, and the filing of a copy of this Notice of Removal with the clerk of the Superior Court of the State of Washington, Snohomish County, effect the removal of said civil action to this Honorable Court.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

LAW OFFICES OF ALEX J. HIGGINS

By: s/Alex J. Higgins_

Alex J. Higgins (WSBA #20868) 2200 6th Ave

Suite 500

Seattle, WA 98121 (206) 340-4856

alex@alexjhiggins.com

Attorney for Defendants

DATED this 24th day of July, 2018.

Exhibit A

JUN 2 6 2018

SONYA KRASKI
COUNTY CLERK
SNOHOMISH CO. WASH.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON SNOHOMISH COUNTY

OCEANGATE INC., a Washington corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAVID LOCHRIDGE and CAROLE REID LOCHRIDGE, and the marital community composed thereof,

Defendants.

NO.18 2 05651 31

COMPLAINT FOR MONETARY DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

COMES NOW the plaintiff, OceanGate Inc., as and for its Complaint in this matter, states as follows:

I. PARTIES

- Plaintiff OceanGate Inc. is a Washington corporation with is principal place of business in Everett, Washington.
- 2. Defendants David Lochridge and Carole Reid Lochridge, husband and wife, are residents of Mukilteo, Washington. David Lochridge is a former employee of OceanGate. Upon information and belief, all of the actions and omissions alleged to have been taken by David Lochridge were done for and on behalf of the marital community of David Lochridge and Carole Reid Lochridge.

COMPLAINT - 1

BARRETT & GILMAN

Attorneys at Law 1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-1900

1

2

3

4

5

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

1 II. JURISDICTION & VENUE 2 This Court has jurisdiction over the parties to and subject matter of this action. Venue 3 is proper herein. 4 5 III. ALLEGATIONS OF FACT OceanGate develops, manufactures and operates manned submersible vessels for 6 7 commercial, scientific and military projects. 8 Defendant David Lochridge ("Lochridge") is an experienced submersible pilot and 9 diver. 10 Lochridge is a citizen of the United Kingdom. 11 As of late 2014, Lochridge was residing in the United Kingdom and doing business 7. 12 through a company he owned with his wife called DC Underwater Services, Ltd. 13 In December 2014, Lochridge reached out to OceanGate regarding employment 14 opportunities. 15 OceanGate confirmed Lochridge's experience and references, including his prior work 9. 16 for Vulcan Maritime on the Motor Yacht Octopus. As part of its vetting process, OceanGate became 17 aware that Lochridge had signed a non-disclosure agreement with regard to his employment with Vulcan 18 19 Maritime. 20 10. In or about May 2015, OceanGate contracted with DC Underwater Services, Ltd., and 21 Mr. Lochridge began working for OceanGate as an independent contractor pursuant to which Lochridge 22 became Director. 23 11. In addition to independent contractor payments, OceanGate agreed "to proceed to 24 secure necessary documentation and apply for a US worker visa in order to provide [Lochridge] and [his] 25

COMPLAINT - 2

BARRETT & GILMAN

spouse permission to work in the US legally". OceanGate agreed that it would seek to obtain "permanent resident status via J-1, E-Visa or similar equivalent process and pay for all costs relating to the application enrollment and all associated legal fees" on behalf of the couple.

- OceanGate agreed to pay \$7,500 to Lochridge as re-location expenses for his move from the UK to Washington.
- 13. OceanGate agreed to reimburse Lochridge for economy travel from the UK to Seattle, Washington for Lochridge and his family members and paid Lochridge Two Thousand One Hundred Sixty and 22/100 Dollars (\$2,160.22) for travel expenses incurred by him and his family.
- From July 1, 2015 through at least January 1, 2016, Lochridge was paid through his company, DC Underwater Services, Ltd., pending approval of his US worker visa.
- 15. In late 2015 Lochridge's 01 Visa was approved and thereafter issued effective January 27, 2016. This visa was good for three years. Based on Lochridge's assurances regarding his long-term plans, OceanGate incurred additional legal expenses to apply for permanent residency on Lochridge's behalf.
- Once Lochridge obtain an 01 Visa, OceanGate changed his status from independent contractor to employee. As an employee Lochridge received valuable additional benefits, including without limitation participation in company sponsored health care and retirement plans, and payment by the company of one-half (1/2) of the employment and Medicare taxes previously borne in full by Lochridge as an independent contractor, and payment of unemployment and worker's compensation premiums on his wages, thus making him eligible for these benefits. On February 22, 2016, in furtherance of his change in status from independent contractor to employee, Lochridge executed a document entitled, Employee Intellectual Property Agreement ("Agreement"). Pursuant to the Agreement, Lochridge agreed:

COMPLAINT - 3

BARRETT & GILMAN

- a. To "hold [OceanGate's] confidential information in strict confidence, and not disclose or use it except as authorized by [OceanGate] and for [OceanGate's] benefit"; and
 - b. Not to disparage OceanGate or its business or products.
- 17. Each of the obligations set forth in paragraph 17, above, continues after the termination of Lochridge's employment.
- 18. The Agreement states that Lochridge's breach will cause OceanGate irreparable harm, and further states that if Lochridge breaches or threatens to breach the Agreement, OceanGate will be entitled to injunctive or other equitable relief as well as money damages.
- 19. Upon information and belief, during the course of his employment with OceanGate, Lochridge repeatedly violated the terms of his nondisclosure agreement with Vulcan Maritime, discussing matters related to his employment with Vulcan with other OceanGate employees as casual small talk.
- 20. In furtherance of Lochridge's execution of the Agreement, Lochridge was provided with access to highly confidential and proprietary information concerning the Company's development of a five-person manned submersible, formerly known as "Cyclops 2" and now known as "Titan".
- 21. Titan is designed to reach depths as great as 4,000 meters and consists of two titanium hemispheres linked by a carbon fiber wound cylinder that is 100 inches long, five feet in diameter and has five-inch-thick walls.
- 22. Effective December 22, 2017, Lochridge was awarded United States permanent resident status.
- 23. The legal fees associated with the immigration application process for Lochridge and his family totaled \$16,267, all of which was paid by OceanGate.
- 24. On January 18, 2018, Lochridge forwarded an engineering report he authored, which report was critical of OceanGate's research and development process for the Titan.

COMPLAINT - 4

BARRETT & GILMAN

- 25. The Titan vessel is being developed and assembled in Washington, but will be owned by a Bahamian entity, will be registered in the Bahamas and current plans are for it to operate outside the territorial waters of the United States.
- Lochridge is not an engineer and was not hired or asked to perform engineering services
 on the Titan.
- 27. Lochridge insisted that his report on the Titan be acted upon. The company called a meeting to discuss his concerns on January 19, 2018. During the meeting, Lochridge repeatedly refused to accept the veracity of information provided by the Company's lead engineer and repeatedly stated he did not approve of OceanGate's research and development plans, insisting, for example that the company should obtain a scan of the hull of Titan's experimental vessel prototype to detect potential flaws rather than relying on acoustic monitoring, despite assurances from OceanGate's engineer that the acoustic monitoring and incremental testing protocol were, in fact, better suited to detect vessel safety issues, if any.
- 28. At the conclusion of the lengthy meeting, OceanGate's CEO asked Lochridge if he could accept OceanGate's research and development plans for the Titan going forward.
- 29. Lochridge stated he could not accept OceanGate's research and development plans going forward and as director of marine operations would not authorize any manned tests of Cyclops II without a scan.
 - Based on Lochridge's position, OceanGate terminated his employment.
- 31. Lochridge promptly returned his laptop computer to OceanGate. Upon examination of the laptop, OceanGate determined that its hard-drive had been scrubbed of all company and other material, strongly suggesting that Lochridge had desired to be fired and had prepared his report and

COMPLAINT - 5

BARRETT & GILMAN

responded to OceanGate during the meeting so as to precipitate his termination. Lochridge retained other property belonging to OceanGate.

- 32. Upon information and belief, Lochridge has violated the Agreement by:
 - a. Discussing OceanGate's confidential information with at least two individuals
 known to OceanGate; and
 - b. Discussing OceanGate's confidential information with representatives of the Occupational Health and Safety Administration when he filed a false report claiming that he was discharged in retaliation for being a whistleblower.
- 33. Lochridge's newly proclaimed status as a whistleblower stands in marked contrast with his cavalier attitude towards the property of OceanGate and its policies and procedures, as follows:
 - a. Recently he was photographed crawling on and around one of the titanium hemispheres for the Cyclops II. These are highly polished metal components, designed to accept clear viewing ports without a gasket and to create a seal that will be waterproof through 4,000 meters in depth. The slightest scratch on the titanium surface would render this \$300,000 component worthless. A photograph showing Mr. Lochridge poking his head through the porthole and leaning on the polished surface is attached as Exhibit 1.
 - b. In 2016 while inside the Cyclops I submersible of OceanGate, he "mooned" through the large viewing window Tony Nissen and other members of the OceanGate engineering staff through with whom he had been arguing.

COMPLAINT - 6

BARRETT & GILMAN

	l
1	
2	
3	1
4	١
5	١
6	١
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	l
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	۱

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 34. OceanGate re-alleges each of the above allegations as though set forth herein.
- The Employee Intellectual Property Agreement was executed by Lochridge on February
 22, 2016 and is binding upon him.
- 36. Upon information and belief, Lochridge breached the Agreement by discussing OceanGate's confidential information with at least two individuals known to OceanGate.
- 37. OceanGate has been irreparably harmed by these breaches and is entitled to injunctive relief and damages (including interest and attorney fees) in an amount to be proven at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: FRAUD

- 38. OceanGate re-alleges each of the above allegations as though set forth herein.
- 39. In July 2015, defendant represented his intention to work indefinitely for OceanGate and negotiated substantial independent contractor payments and subsequent wage payments and benefits.
- 40. As part of his benefits package, defendant received thousands of dollars of legal services for the purpose of obtaining his permanent resident status, moving expenses and travel expenses.
- 41. Defendant's representation was material to OceanGate's decision to hire him and to pay for his expenses related to immigration (particularly its decision to apply for permanent resident status on Lochridge's behalf) and re-location to the United States.
- 42. Within weeks of obtaining his permanent resident status, defendant manufactured a reason to be fired.
- 43. Upon information and belief Lochridge's stated intention of remaining in OceanGate's employ long-term was false when made.

COMPLAINT - 7

BARRETT & GILMAN

BARRETT & GILMAN

Injunctive relief was agreed upon by the parties to the nondisclosure agreement as a necessary remedy for its breach, and OceanGate is entitled thereto. SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION: MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS **RCW 19.108** OceanGate re-alleges each of the above allegations as though set forth here. 58. While employed by OceanGate, Lochridge obtained certain trade secrets, as that term is 59. 6 defined at RCW 19.108.010(4), belonging to OceanGate. Lochridge acquired these trade secrets under circumstances giving rise to a duty to maintain their secrecy and limit their use. 8 Lochridge subsequently misappropriated OceanGate's trade secrets by disclosing 60. 9 and/or using them without OceanGate's express or implied consent in violation of RCW 19.108 et seq. 10 OceanGate is entitled to recover damages for the actual loss caused by Lochridge's 61. 11 misappropriation and for any unjust enrichment of Lochridge thereby. 12 13 IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF WHEREFORE, plaintiff OceanGate Inc. seeks relief as follows: 14 For entry of judgment in its favor in an amount to be proven at trial but which is not less 15 1. 16 than \$23,767, plus pre-judgment interest thereon; 17 For injunctive relief prohibiting Lochridge from disseminating OceanGate's 2. 18 confidential information; 19 For attorneys' fees and costs incurred herein as authorized by the parties' Agreement; 3. 20 For return of all OceanGate property retained by the defendant; and 4. 21 For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable. 5. 22 11 23 24 25 COMPLAINT - 9

1

2

3

4

5

7

BARRETT & GILMAN

COMPLAINT - 10



COMPLAINT - 11

BARRETT & GILMAN

JUN 2 6 2018

SONYA KRASKI COUNTY CLERK SNOHOMISH CO. WASH.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON SNOHOMISH COUNTY

OCEANGATE INC., a Washington corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAVID LOCHRIDGE and CAROLE REID LOCHRIDGE, and the marital community composed thereof,

Defendants.

NO. 18 2 05651 31

DECLARATION RE PERSONAL SERVICE OUTSIDE THE STATE (RCW 4.28.185(4)) RE DAVID LOCHRIDGE AND CAROL REID LOCHRIDGE

THOMAS L. GILMAN declares as follows:

- I am one of the attorneys representing the plaintiff, OceanGate Inc., in this action. I
 have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below and am competent to testify to these facts in court
 if called upon to do so.
- 2. The defendant, David Lochridge, is a former employee of plaintiff who at the time resided in Washington State. We attempted to serve the defendant at his last known address in Washington, but the process server was told by the occupant that Mr. Lochridge had moved. OceanGate Inc. reviewed its payroll service records and noted that Mr. Lochridge submitted a forwarding address to the payroll service with a Texas address.

DECLARATION RE PERSONAL SERVICE OUTSIDE THE STATE - 1

BARRETT & GILMAN

Attorneys at Law 1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-1900

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Based on the above, I determined that service on Mr. Lochridge cannot be made 3. within the State of Washington. Signed at Seattle, Washington this 25th day of June, 2018. DECLARATION RE PERSONAL SERVICE OUTSIDE THE STATE - 2 BARRETT & GILMAN Attorneys at Law 1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-1900



SONYA KRASKI COUNTY CLERK SNOHOMISH CO. WASH.

CIVIL

SNOHOMISH COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

18 2 Case Information Cover Sheet (CICS)

Case Number 0 56 5 1 31 Case Title OCEANGATE INC. v. LOCHRIDGE

Attorney Name THOMAS L. GILMAN Bar Membership Number 8432

Please check one category that best describes this case for indexing purposes. Accurate case indexing not only saves time in docketing new cases, but helps in forecasting needed judicial resources. Cause of action definitions are listed on the back of this form. Thank you for your cooperation.

	ABJ	Abstract of Judgment		PRG	Property Damage – Gangs
	ALR	Administrative Law Review		PRP	Property Damages
	ALRIT	Administrative Law Review-Jury Trial (L&I)		QTI	Quiet Title
	CRP	Petition for Certificate of Restoration of		RDR	Relief from Duty to Register
		Opportunity	_	250	Destauration of Figures Bights
	CHN	Non-Confidential Change of Name		RFR	Restoration of Firearm Rights
	COL	Collection		SDR	School District-Required Action Plan
	CON	Condemnation		SPC	Seizure of Property-Commission of Crime
过	COM	Commercial		SPR	Seizure of Property-Resulting from Crime
	DOL	Appeal Licensing Revocation		STK	Stalking Petition
	DVP	Domestic Violence		SXP	Sexual Assault Protection
	EOM	Emancipation of Minor		TAX	Employment Security Tax Warrant
	FJU	Foreign Judgment		TAX	L & I Tax Warrant
	FOR	Foreclosure		TAX	Licensing Tax Warrant
	FPO	Foreign Protection Order		TAX	Revenue Tax Warrant
	HAR	Unlawful Harassment		TMV	Tort - Motor Vehicle
	INJ	Injunction		TRJ	Transcript of Judgment
	INT	Interpleader		TTO	Tort - Other
	LCA	Lower Court Appeal – Civil		TXF	Tax Foreclosure
	LCI	Lower Court Appeal – Infractions		UND	Unlawful Detainer - Commercial
	LUPA	Land Use Petition Act		UND	Unlawful Detainer - Residential
	MAL	Other Malpractice		VAP	Vulnerable Adult Protection Order
	MED	Medical Malpractice		VVT	Victims of Motor Vehicle Theft-Civil Action
	MHA	Malicious Harassment			Wrongful Death
		Miscellaneous – Civil			Writ of Habeas Corpus
	MSC2	Minor Settlement – Civil (No Guardianship)			Miscellaneous Writs
	MST2				Writ of Mandamus
	PCC	Petition for Civil Commitment (Sexual Predator)			Writ of Restitution
	PFA	Property Fairness Act			Writ of Review
	PIN	Personal Injury	2.0		*****
	PRA	Public Records Act		XRP	Extreme Risk Protection Order

IF YOU CANNOT DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE CATEGORY, PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CAUSE OF ACTION BELOW.

Please Note: Public information in court files and pleadings may be posted on a public Web site.

Exhibit B

SONYA KRASKI COUNTY CLERK SNOHOMISH CO. WASH.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON SNOHOMISH COUNTY

OCEANGATE INC., a Washington corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DAVID LOCHRIDGE and CAROLE REID LOCHRIDGE, and the marital community composed thereof,

Defendants.

NO. 18 2 05651 31

SUMMONS (60 Days)

AND NOTICE PURSUANT TO RCW 38.42.050(3)(a)

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO:

DAVID LOCHRIDGE

and

The Marital Community Comprised of DAVID LOCKRIDGE and CAROLE REID LOCHRIDGE

A lawsuit has been started against you in the above-entitled court by plaintiff. Plaintiff's claim is stated in the written Complaint, a copy of which is served upon you with this Summons.

In order to defend against this lawsuit, you must respond to the Complaint by stating your defense in writing and serving a copy upon the undersigned attorneys for the plaintiff within 60 days after the service of this summons, excluding the day of service, or a default judgment may be entered against you without notice. A default judgment is one where plaintiff is entitled to what they ask for because you have not responded. If you serve a notice of

SUMMONS - 1

BARRETT & GILMAN

4 5

6 7

8 9

11

12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

appearance on the undersigned attorney, you are entitled to notice before a default judgment may be entered.

You may demand that the plaintiff file this lawsuit with the court. If you do so, the demand must be in writing and must be served upon the person signing this summons. Within 14 days after you serve the demand, the plaintiff must file this lawsuit with the court, or the service on you of this summons and complaint will be void.

If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be served on time.

This Summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Superior Court Civil Rules of the State of Washington.

NOTICE TO DEPENDENTS OF ACTIVE MILITARY UNDER RCW 38.42.050(3)(a):

State and federal law provide protections to defendants who are in the military service, and to their dependents. Dependents of a service member are the service member's spouse, the service member's minor child, or an individual for whom the service member provided more than one-half of the individual's support for one hundred eighty days immediately preceding an application for relief.

One protection provided is the protection against the entry of a default judgment in certain circumstances. This notice pertains only to a defendant who is a dependent of a member of the national guard or a military reserve component under a call to active service, or a national guard member under a call to service authorized by the governor of the state of Washington. for a period of more than thirty consecutive days. Other defendants in military service also have protections against default judgments not covered by this notice. If you are the dependent of a member of the national guard or a military reserve component under a call to active service, or a national guard member under a call to service authorized by the governor of the state of Washington, for a period of more than thirty consecutive days, you should notify the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorneys in writing of your status as such within twenty days of the

BARRETT & GILMAN

receipt of this notice. If you fail to do so, then a court or an administrative tribunal may presume that you are not a dependent of an active duty member of the national guard or reserves, or a national guard member under a call to service authorized by the governor of the state of Washington, and proceed with the entry of an order of default and/or a default judgment without further proof of your status. Your response to the plaintiff's attorneys about your status does not constitute an appearance for jurisdictional purposes in any pending litigation nor a waiver of your rights.

This notice is issued pursuant to RCW 38.42.050(3)(a).

DATED this 25 day of June, 2018.

BARRETT & GILMAN

Thomas Gilman, WSBA #8432 Counsel for OceanGate Inc.

SUMMONS - 3

BARRETT & GILMAN

Exhibit C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

OCEANGATE INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. 18-2-05651-31

v.

DAVID LOCHRIDGE and CAROLE REID LOCHRIDGE, and the marital community composed thereof,

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF ALEX J. HIGGINS IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF REMOVAL

- I, ALEX J. HIGGINS, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States, declare that the following is true and correct:
 - I am over the age of 18, have personal knowledge of the matters set forth in this
 declaration, and am otherwise competent to testify. I am the sole attorney representing
 Defendants David Lochridge and Carole Reid Lochridge in this matter.
 - 2. Prior to filing the Notice of Removal, I had an email exchange with Plaintiff's counsel, Thomas Gilman, wherein I asked if his client would be willing to stipulate that the amount in controversy did <u>not</u> exceed \$75,000. Mr. Gilman declined that invitation, reserving the right to pursue more than \$75,000 in damages exclusive of fees and costs.

DECLARATION OF ALEX J. HIGGINS - 1

LAW OFFICES OF ALEX J. HIGGINS 2200 Sixth Ave. Ste 500 Seattle, WA 98121 (206) 340-4856

- 3. The Complaint appears to allege serious breaches by the Defendants and either damage to or failure to return expensive equipment used in submarines or other submersible vessels.
- 4. The defendants in this matter, David Lochridge and Carole Reid Lochridge, live in the State of Texas.
- Defendants were served with the summons and complaint for this matter on June 29,
 2018, at their home in Texas.

EXECUTED on this 24th day of July, 2018, at Seattle, Washington.

/s/Alex J. Higgins
Alex J. Higgins

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) FLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
OCEANGATE INC.				DAVID LOCHRIDO	GE & CAR	OLE REID LO	CHRIDGE	_	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Snohomish, WA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
Thomas Gilman, BARRET & GILMAN 1000 2nd Ave, Suite 3000, Seattle, WA 98104, (206)464-1900				Alex J. Higgins, Law Offices of Alex J. Higgins 2200 6th Ave, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98121, (206)340-4856					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	I TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for	 r Plaintiff	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State	rf def	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	incipal Place 🔀 4	nt) DEF 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizo	en of Another State	2 😿 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation	0 6	1 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	nly)					of Suit Code Descriptions		
AND SECONTRACTOR SES		RIS 2. 4	and the	REFERENCESALING	ALEXA BAN	RELIBION (SI)	FEE CHIEROSTAVERE	State	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	1 69	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	□ 423 Witho 28 U □ 820 Copy □ 830 Paten □ 835 Paten	SC 157	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionm ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influence		
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 28 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	0 71 0 72 0 74	O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Management Relations O Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical Leave Act	☐ 840 Trade ■ 860 AL ☐ 861 HIA (☐ 862 Black	mark SECURIA V (1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	Corrupt Organizatio 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commod Exchange 890 Other Statutory Act 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matte	rrupt Organizations nsumer Credit ble/Sat TV currities/Commodities/ tchange her Statutory Actions pricultural Acts vironmental Matters	
2008年11月1日 11日 11日 11日 11日 11日 11日 11日 11日 11		EPRISONER PETITO ()	NS () 79	0 Other Labor Litigation	ESERVOERA	THE VAXISTITIS SEE	Act		
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tont Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	□ 79 □ 46	Pl Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRAPION 2 Naturalization Application Actions	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
V OPICIN OL WY	. 0 1 0	1 00			<u> </u>				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ☐ 1 Original X2 Removed from ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from ☐ 6 Multidistrict ☐ 8 Multidistrict ☐ Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District (specify) Transfer Direct File									
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 USC 1332 Brief description of cause: Complaint for breach of employment contract, fraud, unjust enrichment, injunctive relief									
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND S JURY DEMAND: Yes XNo									
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY See instructions): DOCKET NUMBER									
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY. OF RECORD FOR ORFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE									

1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6	LIMITED STATES	DISTRICT COLIDT						
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON							
8	AT SE.	ATTLE						
9	OCEANGATE INC.,							
10		No						
11	Plaintiff, vs.							
12	DAVID LOCHRIDGE and CAROLE	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE						
13	REID LOCHRIDGE, and the marital community composed thereof,							
14								
15	Defendants.							
16	I hereby certify that on July 24, 2018, I electroni with attachments, with the Clerk of the Court using							
17	this same day, I caused copies of these documen							
	counsel for Plaintiff, at:							
18	Thomas L. Gilmar	1						
19	Barret & Gilman 100 2 nd Ave, Suite	3000						
20	Seattle, WA 98104							
21	tgilman@bgseattle							
22								
23	DATED this 24th day of July, 2018.							
		s/Alax I Higgins						
24		s/Alex J. Higgins Alex J. Higgins (WSBA #20868)						
25								
26								
	1							

Law Offices of Alex J. Higgins 2200 Sixth Ave., Suite 500 Seattle, WA 98121 (206) 340-4856