Urban green space and albedo impacts on surface temperature across seven United States cities
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HIGHLIGHTS
- Tree cover cooling impacts were stronger than grass cover cooling impacts.
- Albedo cooling impacts were significant and of a similar magnitude across cities.
- Cooling from irrigated grass was stronger than non-irrigated grass.
- Grass cooling efficiency is a function of vegetation moisture content.
- Tree cooling efficiency is a function of sunlight and vegetation moisture content.

ABSTRACT
Extreme heat represents a growing threat to public health, especially across the densely populated, developed landscape of cities. Climate adaptation strategies that aim to manage urban microclimates through purposeful design can reduce the heat exposure of urban populations, however, it is unclear how the temperature impacts of urban green space and albedo vary across cities and background climate. This study quantifies the sensitivity of surface temperature to landcover characteristics tied to two widely used climate adaptation strategies, urban greening and albedo manipulation (e.g. white roofs), by combining long-term remote sensing observations of land surface temperature, albedo, and moisture with high-resolution landcover datasets in a spatial regression analysis at the census block scale across seven United States cities. We find tree cover to have an average cooling impact of −0.089 K per % cover, which is approximately four times stronger than the average grass cover cooling impact of −0.021 K per % cover. Variability in the magnitude of grass cover cooling impacts was primarily a function of vegetation moisture content, with the Land Surface Water Index (LSWI) explaining 89 % of the variability in grass cover cooling impacts across cities. Variability in tree cover cooling impacts was primarily a function of sunlight and vegetation moisture content, with solar irradiance and LSWI explaining 97 % of the cooling variability across cities. Albedo cooling impacts were consistent across cities with an average cooling impact of −0.187 K per increase of 0.01. While these interventions are broadly effective across cities, there are critical regional trade-offs between vegetation cooling efficiency, irrigation requirements, and the temporal duration and evolution of the cooling benefits. In warm, arid cities, high albedo surfaces offer multifaceted benefits such as cooling and water conservation, whereas temperate, mesic cities likely benefit from a combination of strategies, with greening efforts targeting highly paved neighborhoods.
1. Introduction

In the current era of multifaceted global change, urbanization and anthropogenic climate forcing are working synergistically to expose much of the Earth’s population to extreme high temperatures. Between 1995 and 2014, the global average surface temperature was 0.85 °C warmer than the preindustrial average and is projected to continue warming throughout the 21st century, with extreme heat events expected to occur more frequently and with greater intensity (IPCC, 2021). At the same time, humanity continues to experience an unprecedented shift towards urban living, with more than half of the global population now living in the built environment of cities (Grimm et al., 2008) where the combined effects of global warming and urban heat islands (Oke, 1982) exacerbate the health risks associated with heat waves (Zhou et al., 2022).

At the nexus of urbanization and climate change lies a growing threat to public health as moderate and extreme heat exposure is a well-documented contributor to human morbidity and mortality (Sarofim et al., 2016). In the United States, more deaths are attributed to heat exposure than to any other natural disaster (Bell et al., 2016). Furthermore, the public health burden of heat exposure includes a multitude of non-fatal exposure consequences such as adverse pregnancy outcomes, dehydrations, loss of labor productivity, and decreased academic achievement (Bekkar et al., 2020; Heal and Park, 2016; Zivin and Neidell, 2014; Park et al., 2020). Heat disproportionately impacts vulnerable populations such as older adults, outdoor workers, people of color, and residents of low-income households (Sarofim et al., 2016; Environmental Protection Agency, 2021). Thus, in addition to tackling the global climate crisis, there is an urgent need for cities to consider climate adaptation strategies promoting equitable, sustainable, and heat resilient urban ecosystems.

Numerous urban design strategies have been proposed to minimize heat exposure to urban residents including reduction of anthropogenic heat emissions, access to cooling centers, and strategic development of wind corridors (Leal Filho et al., 2017). Here we focus on two of the most common and well-founded urban design strategies, urban greening and the incorporation of high albedo surfaces such as white roofs, which are intended to manipulate the storage, transformation, and exchange of incoming solar radiation to reduce surface temperatures in cities.

Urban greening for heat mitigation refers to the expansion of vegetation, particularly trees, to shade the surface from sunlight and increase evapotranspiration such that more of the incoming solar energy is transferred to the atmosphere via latent, rather than sensible heat. Variation in the capacity of urban versus rural surfaces to evaporate water is a primary driver of extreme daytime urban temperatures (Carlson and Boland, 1978; Taha, 1997; Li et al., 2019), however, the addition of greenspace to an urban setting has also been demonstrated to be an effective method of reducing surface and near-surface urban heat islands (Oleson et al., 2010; Jacobson and Ten Hoeve, 2012; Li et al., 2014), but have rarely been simultaneously considered with urban greening.

Cities across the United States are recognizing the need for climate adaption measures (Shi et al., 2018) and adaptation efforts have expanded substantially in recent years (Easterling et al., 2018). The adaptive capacity of cities, however, is limited by knowledge gaps in our understanding of the marginal impacts of tree cover, grass cover, and albedo on temperature dynamics within cities, the influence of management practices such as irrigation on vegetation cooling efficiency, and the environmental variables governing the effectiveness of various strategies across cities. The cooling efficiency of urban design strategies likely varies within and across cities due to differences in proximate landcover composition and background climates, highlighting the need for improved understanding of observed greening and albedo cooling impacts.

In situ monitoring of air temperature within cities is generally limited to a relatively small number of monitoring stations that fail to provide sufficient spatial coverage for urban land use planning (Zhou et al., 2018). Satellite remote sensing of land surface temperature, however, offers consistent, repeatable, and spatially comprehensive observations of the urban thermal condition. This study combines high resolution spatial datasets of landcover with satellite-derived maps of surface temperature, surface moisture, and albedo to estimate the impact of land cover composition and albedo on surface temperature at the census block level in seven United States cities using a spatial regression analysis. The analysis aims to 1) quantify differences in tree versus grass cover cooling impacts within and across cities, 2) identify environmental drivers governing tree and grass cover cooling efficiency, 3) explore differences in the marginal cooling impacts of irrigated versus non-irrigated grass cover in an arid city, and 4) quantify the sensitivity of surface temperature to albedo across cities. This research deepens our understanding of the joint impacts of urban greening and albedo on surface temperatures, elucidates climatic drivers of vegetation cooling potential, and provides important information for city planners hoping to improve heat resiliency and preparedness for a changing climate through landscape (re)development decisions.

2. Methods

2.1. Study sites and land cover data

The seven US cities included in the analysis are Boston, Massachusetts; Charlotte, North Carolina; Chicago, Illinois; Washington, District of Columbia (DC); Durham, North Carolina; San Diego, California; and San Jose, California (Table 1; Fig. 1). Cities were selected due to the availability of high resolution (<1 m), consistent land cover datasets produced by the University of Vermont Spatial Analysis Laboratory and acquired via the US Department of Agriculture Research Data Archive (University of Vermont Spatial Analysis Laboratory, 2012; University of Vermont Spatial
Table 1
Mean summer (June, July, and August) air temperature (°C) and mean annual summer cumulative precipitation (mm) between 1991 and 2020 for the seven cities included in the analysis (National Centers for Environmental Information, 2022).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Latitude (°)</th>
<th>JJA air temperature (°C)</th>
<th>JJA precipitation (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>263.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>306.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>293.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>304.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>372.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis Laboratory, 2013a; University of Vermont Spatial Analysis Laboratory, 2013b; University of Vermont Spatial Analysis Laboratory, 2016; University of Vermont Spatial Analysis Laboratory, 2017; University of Vermont Spatial Analysis Laboratory, 2020. The UVM SAL mapped seven land cover classes for each city, including tree canopy, shrubs/grass, bare land, water, buildings, roads, and other paved surfaces (Fig. 2A–C). In San Jose, grass was further partitioned as irrigated and non-irrigated (University of Vermont Spatial Analysis Laboratory, 2012). Land cover maps were created using a combination of LiDAR, multispectral orthoimagery from the National Agriculture Imagery Program, and ancillary GIS data sources. Land cover was mapped using automated object-based image analysis techniques to group pixels into meaningful objects based on spectral and spatial properties before a detailed manual review of the dataset was carried out to correct all observable errors (University of Vermont Spatial Analysis Laboratory, 2012). Prior to our analysis, a 100-m buffer surrounding all water bodies was applied to exclude (mask) the areas immediately surrounding water to minimize misattribution of landcover impacts on surface temperature due to the presence of water.

2.2. Surface temperature data

Land surface temperature maps (Fig. 2J–L) were created with the surface temperature layer from the Landsat 7 Level 2 Collection 2 Tier 1 Science Product (Masek et al., 2006) which was acquired and processed with Google Earth Engine (Gorelick et al., 2017). Surface temperature data is provided at 30-m spatial resolution with images acquired every 16 days and is estimated from Landsat thermal infrared bands using the top-of-atmosphere (TOA) reflectance, TOA brightness temperature, Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) emissivity data, ASTER Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) data, and atmospheric geopotential height, specific humidity, and air temperature provided by reanalysis data. Under ideal clear sky conditions, the root mean square error of the Landsat surface temperature product has been estimated to be <1 K (Laraby and Schott, 2018). To reduce uncertainty associated with surface temperature estimation, all images with <80 % cloud cover collected during June, July, and August (JJA) of the five years prior to the year depicted by each city’s landcover map were downloaded, filtered using the C Function of Mask algorithm (CFMASK; Zhu and Woodcock, 2012) to only include pixels with clear sky conditions, and composited by calculating the mean pixel surface temperature value across all images to create a raster representative of the mean JJA clear sky surface temperatures during the sunlit Landsat overpass time across each city. On average, each pixel had a clear sky observation in 70.7 % of images used to create the raster composites, with 95.0 % of pixels having a clear sky observation in at least 50 % of images used to create the raster composites. An inventory of all Landsat images used in this analysis can be found in SI Table 1. Landsat 7 imagery is used throughout the analysis to ensure consistency in the sensors used for data collection and to allow for albedo estimation using methods derived for the Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+) instrument onboard the spacecraft.

2.3. Albedo and moisture data

Broadband shortwave albedo (Fig. 2G–I) and the Land Surface Water Index (LSWI; Fig. 2D–F) were estimated from the narrowband surface reflectance layers of the Landsat 7 Level 2 Collection 2 Tier 1 Science Product acquired for the same dates and times as the surface temperature data. Surface reflectance data was atmospherically corrected using the Landsat Ecosystem Disturbance Adaptive Processing System (LEDAPS) which generates surface reflectance estimates from a radiative transfer model with inputs of TOA reflectance, TOA brightness temperature, and auxiliary data such as water vapor, ozone, geopotential height, aerosol optical depth, and digital elevation (Masek et al., 2006). Albedo was estimated using the narrowband to broadband shortwave albedo algorithm developed for the ETM+ instrument in Liang (2001) and validated in Liang et al. (2003). Surface albedo was estimated as:

\[
\alpha_{ETM+} = 0.356\alpha_1 + 0.130\alpha_3 + 0.373\alpha_4 + 0.085\alpha_5 + 0.072\alpha_7 - 0.0018
\]
where $\alpha_{ETM+}$ is the estimated broadband shortwave surface albedo and $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_3$, $\alpha_4$, $\alpha_5$, and $\alpha_7$ correspond to the atmospherically-corrected surface reflectance within spectral bands 1 (blue), 3 (red), 4 (near-infrared), 5 (short-wave infrared), and 7 (mid-infrared) of the ETM+ instrument. Albedo was estimated for all clear sky pixels in Landsat 7 images collected during JJA of the five years prior to the year depicted by each city’s landcover map and composited to create a raster of mean JJA clear sky surface albedo during the sunlit Landsat overpass time across each city.

We use LSWI to characterize the moisture content of vegetation as a proxy for potential latent heat flux, as vegetation in water scarce environments likely transpires less than vegetation with sufficient access to water. LSWI (sometimes referred to as the Land Surface Moisture Index or Normalized Difference Water Index; Ji et al., 2011) has been demonstrated to be effective in monitoring vegetation moisture content (Maki et al., 2004; Gu et al., 2008) and was estimated as:

$$LSWI = \frac{\alpha_4 - \alpha_5}{\alpha_4 + \alpha_5}$$

where composites were produced in the same way as the surface temperature and albedo rasters.

2.4. Census block boundaries

Census block geographic boundaries were extracted for each city from the Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing Database (TIGER; U.S. Census Bureau, 2019a). Census blocks represent the smallest geographic area for which the U.S. Census Bureau collects data and are defined as “statistical areas bounded on all sides by visible features, such as streets, roads, streams, and railroad tracks, and/or by nonvisible boundaries such as city, town, township, and county limits, and short line-of-sight extensions of streets and roads” (U.S. Census Bureau, 2019b). Census blocks were chosen as the unit of aggregation and analysis for the gridded landcover, surface temperature, LSWI, and albedo data as they are meaningful units for the scale of typical (re)development and land use planning projects taken on by cities and so that data from this analysis can be coupled to other census geographies for pairing with socio-demographic data collected by the U.S. Census Bureau for future analysis.
For each city, landcover data was aggregated to the census block level by computing the percent of each landcover type within each census block. Surface temperature, LSWI, and albedo data were aggregated to the census block level by computing the mean values of all pixels with a pixel centroid falling within a census block. Census blocks <3600 m² in area were omitted from the analysis as they are smaller than the native spatial resolution of the Landsat 7 infrared thermal bands used in the derivation of the surface temperature data product used in this analysis. The minimum area requirement removed an average of 4.7% of census blocks per city from the analysis, ranging from 1.1% of census blocks in Durham, up to 10.0% of census blocks in Boston (SI Table 2). As this analysis focuses on white roof and greenspace impacts on surface temperature across developed landscapes, census blocks with <1% building cover were also omitted from the analysis.

### 2.5. Spatial regression modeling - Spatial Durbin Error Model

To estimate the impact of land cover composition and albedo on surface temperature at the census block level for each city, we implement a Spatial Durbin Error Model (SDEM; LeSage and Pace, 2009), which includes spatial lag effects on the independent variables and model error to estimate direct and indirect impacts of independent variables on the dependent variable at the census block level. We utilize a spatial autoregressive framework due to spatial autocorrelation in the temperature data, which may bias the coefficient estimates from a traditional ordinary least squares regression modeling framework (Lichstein et al., 2002). The SDEM form is:

\[
y = X\beta + WX\theta + u. \tag{3}
\]

\[
u = \lambda Wu + \epsilon.
\]

\[
\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)
\]

where \(y\) is the dependent variable vector (mean surface temperature (K)), \(X\) is the independent variable matrix (tree cover (%), grass cover (%), building cover (%), other paved surface cover (%), and mean albedo (unitless)), \(\beta\) is the regression parameter vector, \(W\) is a spatial weighting matrix, \(u\) is the spatial error, \(\lambda\) is the spatial coefficient of the error, and \(\epsilon\) is the error vector of the model. In the San Jose SDEM, grass was disaggregated in the independent variable matrix into irrigated versus non-irrigated grass cover. The spatial weighting matrix, \(W\), was constructed using the variance-stabilizing ‘S-coding’ scheme described by Tiefelsdorf et al. (1999) to reduce heterogeneity in spatial weights due to differences in the number and size of neighbors. Direct impacts, represented by \(\beta\), describe the impact of a unit change in the independent variable within a focal unit (in this analysis, within a census block) on the dependent variable. Indirect impacts, represented by \(\theta\), capture spatial spillover effects and describe the effect of a unit change in the independent variable within the spatially weighted neighboring observations on the dependent variable of the focal unit.

Bare land cover and road cover were omitted from the SDEMs of all cities to minimize multicollinearity. We computed the variance inflation factor (VIF) for each independent variable within each city-specific SDEM to evaluate the extent to which SDEM impact coefficient estimates are driven by multicollinearity. We find 31 out of 36 VIFs to be less than ten (SI Table 3), indicating that multicollinearity is not a concern (Kennedy, 2018). To test for spatial autocorrelation of SDEM residuals in each city, we conducted a two-sided Moran’s I test on the error of the SDEM finding no evidence of spatial autocorrelation in the error (SI Table 4). Model validation was conducted for the SDEM of each city by randomly selecting 70% of census blocks within each city to use as a training dataset, and predicting the mean surface temperature in a testing dataset composed of the remaining 30% of census blocks as a function of land cover composition and albedo.

### 2.6. Sensitivity analysis of green space impact drivers

We test the sensitivity of the magnitude of grass cover and tree cover cooling impacts to vegetation moisture availability and solar irradiance - two environmental drivers that impose limits on evapotranspirative cooling (Jarvis and McNaughton, 1986) - using two ordinary least squares regression analyses for tree cover cooling impacts, we fit a linear model of the form:

\[
\beta_{\text{SDEM,tree}} = \alpha + \beta_1 \times \text{GHI}_{\text{LDA}} + \beta_2 \times \text{LSWI}_{\text{tree}} + \epsilon \tag{4}
\]
where $\beta_{SDEM, \text{tree}}$ is the tree cover direct impact (K %$^{-1}$) estimated by the SDEM of each city, $\alpha$ is the model intercept, $\beta_1$ is the sensitivity of $\beta_{SDEM, \text{tree}}$ to $\text{GHI}_{\text{JJA}}$, $\beta_2$ is the sensitivity of $\beta_{SDEM, \text{tree}}$ to $\text{LSWI}_{\text{tree}}$, $\text{GHI}_{\text{JJA}}$ is the mean daytime JJA clear sky global horizontal irradiance for each city (W m$^{-2}$) obtained for the years 2019–2020 from the National Solar Radiation Database, 2022), $\text{LSWI}_{\text{tree}}$ is the mean LSWI of all 30-m x 30-m Landsat pixels that contain >25 % tree cover (unitless), and $\varepsilon$ is the model error. Significant model coefficients of a similar magnitude were observed across pixel tree cover thresholds ranging from 5 % to 95 %. We choose 25 % as the threshold for tree and grass cover in the sensitivity analysis as it restricts the analysis to only include pixels where tree or grass cover is a dominant land cover type.

For grass cover cooling impacts, we did not observe a significant relationship between $\beta_{SDEM, \text{grass}}$ and $\text{GHI}_{\text{JJA}}$. Therefore, we fit a linear model of the form:

$$\beta_{SDEM, \text{grass}} = \alpha + \beta \times \text{LSWI}_{\text{grass}} + \varepsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\beta_{SDEM, \text{grass}}$ is the grass cover direct impact (K %$^{-1}$) estimated by the SDEM of each city, $\alpha$ is the model intercept, $\beta$ is the sensitivity of $\beta_{SDEM, \text{grass}}$ to $\text{LSWI}_{\text{grass}}$, $\text{LSWI}_{\text{grass}}$ is the mean LSWI of all 30-m x 30-m Landsat pixels that contain >25 % grass cover (unitless), and $\varepsilon$ is the model error. All modeling and statistical testing were conducted using R version 4.1 (R Core Team, 2022).

3. Results

3.1. Model evaluation and validation

We find strong agreement between the observed versus predicted surface temperature estimates (Fig. 3). The SDEMs capture much of the variance in surface temperature with out-of-sample R$^2$ values ranging from 0.51 in the San Jose SDEM to 0.89 in the Charlotte SDEM (Fig. 3).

We find no evidence of substantial bias in SDEM predictions of surface temperature with all regression slopes close to one (range of 0.97–1.07) and intercepts close to zero (range of –0.15 - 8.58). Further, we find evidence of strong predictive power across all city-specific SDEMs with an average out-of-sample root mean square error of 1.36 K across all cities, which is equal to just 6.0 % of the range of observed surface temperature values across all cities (300.97 K – 323.62 K; Fig. 3).

3.2. Impact estimates

The direct impact coefficients were highly significant ($p < 0.001$) across all cities for each independent variable included in the SDEMs (Fig. 4A; Table 2). Tree cover had a negative (cooling) direct impact on surface temperature in each city, ranging from $-0.051$ K %$^{-1}$ in Chicago to $-0.123$ K %$^{-1}$ in Durham. Grass cover had a negative direct impact in all cities except for San Jose, where irrigated grass cover was distinguished from non-irrigated grass cover. We found a positive (warming) direct impact of non-irrigated grass cover of 0.022 K %$^{-1}$ in San Jose versus a negative direct impact of irrigated grass cover of $-0.018$ K %$^{-1}$, suggesting that irrigated grass cover has a stronger cooling impact than non-irrigated grass cover in arid cities. The mean direct impact of tree cover on surface temperature ($-0.089$ K %$^{-1}$) was approximately four times stronger than the mean direct impact of grass cover ($-0.021$ K %$^{-1}$), providing evidence that urban surface temperatures are more sensitive to tree cover than grass cover (Fig. 4A) during the daytime. We estimate significant spatial spillover effects of tree cover, where indirect impacts describe the effect of a unit change in tree cover within the spatially weighted neighboring observations on the surface temperature of a focal census block, with negative indirect impacts of tree cover observed across all seven cities (Fig. 4B) with a mean indirect impact of $-0.047$ K %$^{-1}$. In contrast, significant negative indirect impacts of grass cover were only observed in three cities and were >90 % weaker than the indirect impacts of tree cover with an average indirect impact of just $-0.004$ K %$^{-1}$ (Table 2; Fig. 4B).

![Fig. 4](image_url)

**Fig. 4.** A: Direct impact coefficients estimated for each independent variable by each city-specific SDEM. *Irrigated grass cover in San Jose is designated by an open circle. Tree, grass, building, and paved cover coefficients should be interpreted as the change in surface temperature resulting from a one unit change in the percent land cover within a census block. Albedo coefficients should be interpreted as the change in surface temperature resulting from a 0.01 increase in the albedo within a census block. B: Indirect impact coefficients estimated for each independent variable by each city-specific SDEM. *Irrigated grass cover in San Jose is designated by an open circle. Tree, grass, building, and paved cover coefficients should be interpreted as the change in surface temperature resulting from a one unit change in the spatially weighted percent land cover in neighboring census blocks. Albedo coefficients should be interpreted as the change in surface temperature resulting from a 0.01 increase in the spatially weighted albedo of neighboring census blocks. All error bars represent 95 % confidence intervals.
Both building cover and other paved cover had significant positive direct impacts on surface temperature, however, the positive impacts of building cover and other paved cover were weaker than the negative impact of tree cover in each city. Additionally, we found less variability in the building cover and other paved cover direct impacts ($\sigma = 0.014 \text{ K \%}^{-1}$ and 0.007 K \%$^{-1}$, respectively) than grass cover ($\sigma = 0.024 \text{ K \%}^{-1}$) and tree cover ($\sigma = 0.024 \text{ K \%}^{-1}$) direct impacts. We find solar irradiance and vegetation moisture content to be strong controls on the magnitude of tree cover direct impacts.

Mean JJA global horizontal solar irradiance and the mean LSWI of Landsat pixels containing $>25\%$ tree cover explain $97\%$ of the variance in tree cover direct impacts (Fig. 5A), suggesting that the magnitude of tree cover cooling impacts is a function of solar radiation intensity and vegetation moisture availability. We observe the strongest direct grass cover impacts in the cities with the highest vegetation moisture content, with the mean LSWI of Landsat pixels containing $>25\%$ grass cover explaining $89\%$ of the variance in grass cover direct impacts (Fig. 5B), highlighting that the sensitivity of surface temperature to grass cover is largely a function of moisture availability.

Albedo was found to impose a strong negative direct impact on surface temperature of a similar magnitude across all cities (Fig. 4A) with an average direct impact of $\sim 0.187 \text{ K per albedo increase of 0.01 (unitless)}$. Furthermore, albedo was found to impose significant, but weaker, indirect effects (Fig. 4B) on surface temperature in six out of seven cities with an average indirect impact of $\sim 0.085 \text{ K per albedo increase of 0.01 (unitless)}$. The marginal impacts of tree cover and albedo estimated here support both urban greening and integration of high albedo surfaces as effective ways to reduce surface temperatures, however, we find large discrepancies in...
the range of typical observed values of albedo versus tree cover within and across cities (Fig. 6). Tree cover values were more variable than albedo values with a coefficient of variation of tree cover at the census block level of 0.69 compared to a coefficient of variation of albedo at the census block level of 0.13.

4. Discussion

4.1. Urban green space impacts on surface temperature

While we have long known that vegetation and albedo impact temperature, this study advances our understanding of the marginal cooling impacts of urban green space and albedo across developed landscapes that vary in land cover composition and background climate. We identify significant differences in both the cooling efficiency of tree versus grass cover and the cooling efficiency of irrigated versus non-irrigated grass cover in an arid city. Furthermore, we leverage differences in vegetation moisture content and mean solar irradiance to gain insights into the drivers of vegetation cooling potential within and across cities. We expand upon existing studies of urban vegetation cooling efficiency that primarily focus on trees or vegetation as a whole by separating grass effects from tree effects. Grass cover comprises up to 24.8% of land area in the cities investigated here, and is more abundant than tree cover in three of the seven cities investigated here (Fig. 1), pointing to the importance of understanding the cooling effects from each vegetation type.

The magnitude of marginal cooling impacts of vegetation reported here (mean of $-0.089 \text{ K K}^{-1}$ and $-0.021 \text{ K K}^{-1}$ for tree and grass cover, respectively) is consistent with previous studies. In a literature review conducted by Wang et al. (2020), reported urban vegetation cooling efficiency values range from $-0.029 \text{ K K}^{-1}$ to $-0.318 \text{ K K}^{-1}$ with an average value of $-0.081 \text{ K K}^{-1}$. Wang et al. (2020) found the marginal cooling impacts of tree cover across 118 United States cities to range from $-0.040 \text{ K K}^{-1}$ to $-0.574 \text{ K K}^{-1}$ but did not quantify grass cover cooling impacts. While this study quantifies the marginal cooling impacts of vegetation during mean JJA daytime conditions, there is evidence that the sensitivity of land surface temperature to vegetation cover increases during heat waves. Wang et al. (2019) found stronger average marginal cooling impacts ($-0.202 \text{ K K}^{-1}$) than those observed in this study during heat waves in six United States cities, along with a significant relationship between mean land surface temperature and marginal cooling impact, highlighting the potential for heat mitigation from urban greening strategies under projected future increases in extreme heat.

The SDM results reported here corroborate the notion that incorporating vegetation into developed landscapes can reduce surface temperatures, however, different mechanisms of cooling between tree cover and grass cover result in a stronger local cooling impact of tree cover than grass cover with a stronger cooling spillover, indirect impact of trees on the surrounding area. Trees cool the surface via shading (Yu et al., 2020) and evapotranspiration (Rahman et al., 2017), whereas grass primarily cools the surface via evapotranspiration. Therefore, when evapotranspiration efficiency is constrained by moisture availability, the cooling potential of grassy surfaces is expected to decline while the primary cooling mechanism of tree cover is expected to shift towards shading. At the 60 m native spatial resolution of the Landsat 7 infrared thermal bands used in this analysis, it is unlikely that the stronger cooling impacts of tree cover versus grass cover observed here are resultant from shade effects alone. Instead, it is possible that the stronger tree cover cooling impacts are a function of increased evapotranspiration associated with the high leaf area index and increased exposure to the sun and sky of broadleaf trees prevalent in cities (Pataki et al., 2011) compared to shorter statured grasses and shrubs. The impact coefficients and relationship between tree cover direct impacts, solar irradiance, and moisture availability (Fig. 5A) reported here imply that tree canopy expansion is likely a more effective climate adaptation strategy in cities at a lower latitude with adequate precipitation or irrigation to sustain evapotranspiration. Despite variability in the magnitude of tree cover impacts observed across cities, we find evidence of tree cover expansion as an effective heat mitigation strategy across all cities included in the analysis.

In considering regions to implement canopy expansion measures within cities, the strongest cooling impacts may be realized in neighborhoods with a higher fraction of impervious surface area, with adequate space for canopy expansion, due to the thermal properties and moisture availability of the surfaces underlying the canopy (Rahman et al., 2020). Our results suggest that canopy expansion over paved surfaces has a larger impact than canopy expansion over grassy surfaces due to the compounded effect of reduced surface temperatures from each additional fraction of canopy plus surface temperature reductions resultant from decreased pavement area. The thermal admittance of anthropogenic construction materials with a low water holding capacity typically found below highly urbanized
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Fig. 6. A: Kernel density distribution of albedo at the census block level for each city included in the analysis. B: Kernel density distribution of tree cover (%) at the census block level for each city included in the analysis.
canopies (e.g. asphalt, concrete, and brick) is often lower than that of saturated natural materials than can readily store water (Oke, 1987; Thornees and Shao, 1991; Crevier and Delage, 2001). Materials with a lower thermal admittance are characterized by a lower heat storage capacity and therefore shed large amounts of sensible heat, resulting in high daytime surface temperatures. Rahman et al. (2019) demonstrate the influence of surfaces underlying tree canopies, finding that in Munich, Germany, a unit increase in the leaf area index of trees above the surface results in a 3 K decrease in the surface temperature of grassy surfaces compared to a 6 K decrease in the surface temperature of asphalt. Critically, the existing land cover composition represents an important consideration in climate-sensitive design strategies that focus on urban greening.

In addition to land cover composition, we find a strong influence of the regional moisture regime on the cooling potential of grass cover. Arid cities that lack a reliable supply of water via precipitation during the summer months, such as San Jose and San Diego (Table 1), require irrigation to realize the cooling effects of grass cover which can strain local water supplies. In the southwestern United States, more than one-third of regional water supplies can be used to irrigate urban landscapes (Devitt et al., 2008). In cities located in dry climates, urban greening strategies that focus on tree canopy expansion are likely to reduce heat exposure more than strategies that treat all vegetation, including grass, as equal, while simultaneously reducing water consumption. Wynne and Devitt (2020) found that in the arid climate of Las Vegas, Nevada, irrigated tree-dominated landscapes had lower water use rates than similar areas dominated by irrigated turfgrass. In contrast, cities located in mesic climates with a consistent supply of precipitation during the summer months can achieve considerable surface temperature reductions from grass cover alone. For example, we find the direct impact of grass cover in the mesic city of Boston (−0.058 K %−1) to be 73 % of the direct impact of tree cover in Boston (−0.079 K %−1; Table 2), whereas the direct impact of grass cover in San Diego (−0.007 K %−1) is only 7.4 % of the direct impact of tree cover (−0.094 K %−1; Table 2). These findings point to the importance of moving beyond simple greenness indices, such as NDVI, that are commonly used to characterize urban greenspace, towards metrics that better capture the form and function of urban vegetation and associated differences in ecosystem service provisions.

4.2. Trade-offs of urban greening and albedo manipulation

This analysis points to both urban greening and the incorporation of high albedo surfaces as effective ways to combat high surface temperatures. Efforts to improve heat resiliency through climate sensitive design should consider the trade-offs of potential adaptation strategies. Roof tops are a common target for the installation of reflective surfaces and account for up to 25 % of the total landcover in the seven cities investigated here (Fig. 1), with buildings accounting for more area than tree canopy in Chicago, San Diego, and San Jose, highlighting the adoption of white roofs as a potentially high impact intervention for improved thermal comfort via albedo increases. White roof adoption offers a low-cost, easy to implement, heat mitigation option with long-term net savings compared to traditional dark roofs (Sproull et al., 2014). Moreover, white roofs offer additional environmental benefits via energy savings and reduced greenhouse gas emissions associated with reduced cooling demand during the summer months (Giordano et al., 2019). The cooling services associated with white roofs are realized immediately following implementation, but deteriorate over time as pollutants and dirt accumulate on the roof surface (Fig. 7). An average decrease in rooftop albedo of 0.15 may be expected within the first year of white roof adoption, with a continued gradual decline in subsequent years (Bretz and Akbari, 1997).

In contrast, the financial cost (Vogt et al., 2015) and greenhouse gas emissions associated with the planting, irrigation, maintenance, and disposal of city trees can be high (Kendall and McPherson, 2011) and require trees to survive for several decades to attain carbon neutrality (Petri et al., 2016). However, while cool pavements/white roofs singularly benefit public health via thermal regulation, urban vegetation has been demonstrated to provide a suite of co-benefits to public health and well-being (Markevych et al., 2017). Urban greenspace decreases exposure to other environmental stressors such as noise (van Renterghem et al., 2015) and air pollution (Escobedo et al., 2011), reduces psychophysiological stress (Hartig et al., 2014), encourages physical activity (Almanza et al., 2012), and facilitates social cohesion (Weinstein et al., 2015). Over time, the ecosystem services associated with urban greening are enhanced as larger trees cast more shade and transpire more water than smaller trees (Fig. 7).

4.3. Potential public health applications

Surface temperature observations offer several advantages over air temperature observations in assessing the urban thermal condition. Air temperature observations are commonly made at relatively few discrete locations with multiple sensors across a city or are estimated via a coarse resolution gridded reanalysis product. In contrast, remote sensing measurements of surface temperature offer long-term, high-resolution observations using the same sensor with global coverage. Thus, surface temperature can expand opportunities to identify localized modifiable drivers of heat exposure (e.g., vegetation and albedo), and characterize fine scale heat exposure disparities and associated health risks. Limitations of surface temperature observations include uncertainty due to cloud cover, trade-offs between spatial and temporal resolution, and discrepancies between surface and air temperature (Zhou et al., 2018), where air temperature is more closely related to public health than surface temperature. Currently the health effects literature is primarily based on measured or perceived air temperature (Anderson et al., 2013), with limited studies linking surface temperature to health (Smargiassi et al., 2009; Kestens et al., 2011).

Surface temperature is largely a function of the incoming solar energy, moisture availability, and thermal properties of the surface material. The air temperature felt by humans, however, experiences temperature change via the convective transport of sensible heat from surrounding surfaces, net radiation changes where urban pollutants, aerosols, and humidity absorb and emit energy, and advection of heat from adjacent air parcels. Thus, the surface temperature imposes a strong control on air temperature, but the dependence of air temperature on other factors such as wind speed, aerodynamic roughness, and the temperature of large-scale air masses precludes the simple prediction of air temperature from surface temperature alone (Venter et al., 2021).

Surface temperature observations may also be informative for identifying neighborhoods susceptible to prolonged exposure events that persist...
overnight as the thermal emissions of the surface become a primary driver of air temperature at night (Ibsen et al., 2022) when solar radiation inputs cease and turbulent mixing subsides. Extended periods of heat exposure exacerbate physiological stress on the human body and can increase the risk of negative heat-related health outcomes (World Health Organization, 2018). The City of Boston (2022) reports that in neighborhoods with the highest average surface temperature, the associated air temperature can remain over 32 °C overnight during heat waves, with daytime air temperatures reaching up to 41 °C.

Marginalized communities tend to experience more heat exposure than other communities in warm countries (Park et al., 2015) highlighting a critical need to target disproportionalities in heat exposure observed across communities in the deployment of climate-sensitive infrastructure. Hsu et al. (2021) find that at the census tract level in the United States, the average person of color is exposed to warmer surface temperatures than non-Hispanic white populations in 97 % of the 175 largest urbanized areas in the country, with similar relationships observed when comparing households below the US Census Bureau's poverty threshold to those at more than twice the poverty threshold. Tiedeens et al. (2022) find substantial spatial variability in residential demand for cooling as a function of heat exposure and population characteristics at the census tract level in Boston, MA, pointing to the importance of spatially comprehensive assessment of cooling strategies. We choose to conduct our analysis at the census block level to accommodate aggregation of temperature, land cover, and albedo data to the census block group or census tract level for future pairing with sociodemographics and health in studies of exposure disparities and vulnerability.

Overall, the methods described in this paper facilitate modeling of land surface temperature changes due to fine scale vegetation and albedo interventions, providing the opportunity to evaluate the impact of these interventions on exposure disparities and health studies in future projects.

4.4. Winter impacts

The cooling impacts reported here describe temperature reductions observed during summer months. Much less attention has been given to the wintertime urban heat island effect, but the implementation of climate sensitive urban design choices may produce unforeseen consequences during colder periods in temperate climates. The wintertime urban heat island effect has been shown to potentially reduce cold-related mortality by up to 15 % (Macintyre et al., 2021a). Deciduous trees that lose their leaves in the winter allow for solar radiation to penetrate the canopy and reach the surface, resulting in warmer wintertime temperatures. White roofs continue to reflect wintertime radiation away from the surface, resulting in lower wintertime temperatures (He et al., 2020a), increased building heating costs at higher latitudes (Oleson et al., 2010), and uncertain impacts on wintertime mortality. Macintyre et al. (2021b) project that the summertime health benefits of white roofs will increase throughout the 21st century in the United Kingdom, with insignificant changes in the impact of white roofs on cold-related mortality. In contrast, He et al. (2020b) estimate that in the Greater Boston area, 0.21 % of deaths attributed to summertime heat exposure may be avoided through the implementation of white roofs, compared to 0.096 % of wintertime deaths associated with exposure to extreme cold temperatures attributed to cool roof impacts on wintertime temperatures.

5. Conclusion

We estimate strong, significant cooling impacts of tree cover and albedo on surface temperatures at the census block scale across seven United States cities. We find tree cover cooling impacts increase at lower latitudes and in more mesic climates. We find evidence of grass as a cooling mechanism with a smaller impact than tree cover that is largely controlled by moisture availability. The impact coefficients and drivers identified here offer valuable information to city planners working to incorporate the most effective climate sensitive design strategies that promote heat resiliency given the current land cover composition and background climate. For example, in arid cities with low latent heat flux efficiency and a reduced capacity to maintain healthy vegetation without irrigation, cool roofs and cool pavements offer a way to cool the city surface without consuming excess water resources. Conversely, in mesic temperate climates with ample precipitation, cities would likely benefit from the incorporation of both urban greening and white roof adoption, where tree cover benefits may be more impactful in neighborhoods characterized by high impervious surface fractions.


