# COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENERGY & ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS

# DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

ONE WINTER STREET, BOSTON, MA 02108 617-292-5500

## THE OFFICE OF APPEALS AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

. . . . . .

	May 30, 2019
In the Matter of	OADR Docket Nos. 2019-008, 2019-009
	2019-010, 2019-011, 2019-012 and 2019
	013
Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC	Air Quality Plan Approval
	Weymouth, MA

### ORDER REGARDING FURTHER SCHEDULING

These consolidated appeals challenge an Air Quality Plan Approval ("Air Permit") that the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection ("MassDEP" or "the Department") issued to Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC ("the Applicant") on January 11, 2019 for construction and operation of a natural gas compressor station in the Town of Weymouth. I conducted a three-day evidentiary adjudicatory hearing ("Hearing") on the appeals on May 15-May 17, 2019. The Hearing was suspended at the end of the day on May 17, 2019, for reasons discussed in my Order to Show Cause dated May 20, 2019.

The parties to the appeals filed the following pleadings and documents with the Office of Appeals and Dispute Resolution ("OADR") since May 20, 2019:

- 1. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's Response to Order to Show Cause, dated May 22, 2019, which included the affidavit of Glenn Keith and approximately 208 pages of email messages.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. Ten Persons Group Memorandum Concerning New Alpha Analytical Data, dated May 23, 2019, which included two exhibits: Exhibit 1 is a section from the National Toxicology Program Report on Carcinogens (14<sup>th</sup> ed.) regarding 1,3-Butadiene; Exhibit 2 is a statement dated May 21, 2019 from the Metropolitan Area Planning Council ("MAPC") entitled "MAPC Statement: Updated Weymouth Air Quality Data."
- 3. Town of Weymouth's Response to the Department of Environmental Protection's Response to Order to Show Cause, dated May 23, 2019, which included one attachment, a statement dated May 21, 2019 from the Metropolitan Area Planning Council ("MAPC") entitled "MAPC Statement: Updated Weymouth Air Quality Data."
- 4. Filings were made also by Petitioners Town of Hingham and Town of Braintree adopting the response filed by the Town of Weymouth, and by Petitioner Hingham 10 Persons Group, adopting the response of the Ten Persons Group.
- Applicant's Memorandum Regarding Alpha's Additional Reports, dated May 28,
   which included an affidavit of Christopher M. Long, Sc.D., DABT and two exhibits,
   Long's curriculum vitae and a document prepared by Long entitled "Review of Alpha Analytical

In the Matter of Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Many of the pages are duplicate messages. 192 pages of email messages were filed with MassDEP's response and distributed to the service list. An additional 16 pages of email messages (a total of 7 messages) were hand delivered to OADR by MassDEP separately. As to those 7 messages, MassDEP asserted the Attorney Work Product rule, and requested that I review these messages <u>in camera</u>, which I have done. I find these 7 messages to be privileged communications.

Laboratory's Additional reports of Analyses of MassDEP's July to August 2018 Ambient Air Sampling in the Fore River Basin Area."

I have carefully reviewed all of the filings, and acknowledge the arguments and concerns raised by all of the parties, particularly the Petitioners' concerns regarding the fairness of this adjudicatory proceeding and the Applicant's concern regarding unnecessarily delaying a Final Decision by MassDEP's Commissioner.<sup>2</sup> As a result of my review, and in accordance with the provisions of 310 CMR 1.01(1)(b)<sup>3</sup>, 310 CMR 1.01(5)(a)13,<sup>4</sup> and 310 CMR 1.01(5)(b),<sup>5</sup> I issue the following orders:

The parties shall appear for a continuation of the adjudicatory hearing on 1. Monday, June 10, from 9:00 AM until 1:00 PM, and if necessary, on Tuesday, June 11 from 12:00 PM until 5:00 PM. The materials submitted by the Department in response to the Order to

- 3. advance pertinent arguments;
- 4. refute testimony including an opportunity to cross-examine adverse witnesses; and
- 5. examine and introduce pertinent documents.

## In the Matter of Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC

OADR Docket Nos. 2019-008 through 2019-013

Order Regarding Further Scheduling

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Weymouth and the Ten Persons Group want the HIA process to be re-opened. As I have made clear from the outset of these appeals, the HIA is not an appealable decision and is not reviewable in this proceeding. I cannot expand the scope of this air permit appeal to include a review of the HIA. If there is a remedy to be had for MassDEP's alleged failure to provide MAPC with a comprehensive set of data for the HIA, it exists elsewhere.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "310 CMR 1.01 shall be construed to secure a just and speedy determination of every appeal. Issues not addressed in 310 CMR 1.01 or for which a party seeks clarity are to be considered in light of the entire M.G.L. c. 30A."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "The Presiding Officer shall have the power to take any action authorized by M.G.L. c. 30A to conduct a just, efficient and speedy adjudicatory appeal, and to write a fair and impartial recommended decision for consideration by the Commissioner. The Presiding Officer may, on the Presiding Officer 's own initiative or on a party's motion where appropriate, without limitation... manage the presentation of the evidence and participation of the parties so as to develop an adequate and comprehensible record of the adjudicatory appeal....".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Consistent with the right to a just and speedy resolution of the adjudicatory appeal, parties at their option may present their case or may be assisted by an authorized representative. The parties, or their authorized representatives shall have a right, subject to the powers of the Presiding Officer at 310 CMR 1.01(5)(a) to:

<sup>1.</sup> present witnesses;

<sup>2.</sup> present and establish relevant facts by oral or written testimony and documentary evidence;

Show Cause demonstrate that the Petitioners were prevented from having a fair and complete opportunity to cross-examine the Department's witnesses on the additional data and its relationship to the air permit at issue in these consolidated appeals. This easily could have been avoided with prompt disclosure of the new Alpha data by MassDEP to OADR and the parties prior to the start of the hearing on May 15, 2019. In fact, MassDEP received the first of seven data reports on Friday, May 10, 2019, and had the entire set of reports from Alpha before the close of business on Monday, May 13, 2019. Affidavit of Glenn Keith at p. 2. Had that disclosure been made, I could have determined, after a discussion with all of the parties, whether it made sense to delay the start of the hearing by one or two days, and the parties could have prepared themselves accordingly. Instead, MassDEP's late disclosure upended the proceedings, created a perception of withholding information that might be relevant to resolution of the appeals, and threatens to delay the issuance of a Final Decision in accordance with the schedule agreed upon by the Applicant and MassDEP in related federal court litigation, and set forth in the Pre-hearing Conference Report & Order. Having reviewed the materials filed by MassDEP in response to the Order to Show Cause, I find that MassDEP did not have a reasonable basis for delaying disclosure of the Alpha reports to the parties until the hearing was two-thirds completed on May 16, 2019 and until after the Petitioners' witnesses had completed their testimony on that same date. This was simply not fair. The remedy for MassDEP's lack of timely disclosure of the data is for me to schedule additional hearing time to accord the Petitioners the opportunity for additional cross-examination of MassDEP's witnesses. 6 This cross-examination may include the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> I will note that among the *in camera* materials is a suggestion by MassDEP that the data are irrelevant to the air

numerous questions raised by the Ten Persons Group in their response, but the scope of cross-examination will be limited to the new data and MassDEP's knowledge and actions related thereto.

- 2. By no later than 3:00 PM on Wednesday, June 5, 2019, MassDEP shall identify, in writing and under oath, the earliest date on which any MassDEP witness who testified at the hearing first knew of MassDEP's request to Alpha for the additional data. As noted by the Town of Weymouth, this information is relevant to the weight and credibility of the MassDEP witnesses' testimony.
- 3. By no later than 3:00 PM on Wednesday, June 5, 2019, MassDEP shall provide copies of all communications related to MassDEP's May 2, 2019 request to Alpha Analytics, including but not limited to MassDEP's first request to Alpha for any data not previously produced by Alpha to MassDEP.
- 4. The affidavit of Christopher M. Long, Sc.D., DABT and his two exhibits, Long's curriculum vitae and a document prepared by Long entitled "Review of Alpha Analytical Laboratory's Additional reports of Analyses of MassDEP's July to August 2018 Ambient Air Sampling in the Fore River Basin Area", as well as all references in the Applicant's response are stricken from the record. Although Mr. Long had been identified as a potential witness by the Applicant in its pre-hearing statement, he did not file any testimony prior to the hearing. I did not grant leave to file any additional testimony and none was requested by the Applicant. The

permitting and not admissible because they relate the Health Impact Assessment (which is not subject to review in this proceeding). As MassDEP well knows, determinations of relevance and admissibility are made by the Presiding Officer in an appeal. Moreover, the new data fell within MassDEP's continuing discovery obligations to the Petitioners to supplement discovery responses on a timely basis.

Applicant introduced this unsolicited affidavit and report of Mr. Long, which begs for rebuttal

and in fairness to the opponents, requires it. Yet the Applicant argues that the appeal must move

ahead to a Final Decision according to the agreed-upon schedule, with a Final Decision issued no

later than June 28, 2019. That is not possible without allowing an opportunity for rebuttal and

cross-examination. In the interest of not prolonging this adjudicatory proceeding any longer than

is necessary to afford the Petitioners a full and fair opportunity to cross-examine MassDEP's

witnesses, and in order to adhere to the schedule as closely as possible, I am striking these

materials.

5. The parties shall file preliminary closing briefs, including proposed findings of

fact, conclusions of law, and recommended final decision, based on the record to date, by 5:00

PM on Friday, June 7, 2019. The parties may file supplemental closing briefs after the close of

the hearing, but no later than 5:00 PM on Tuesday, June 18, 2019.

Date: 5/30/2019

Jane A Rothchild

puf Richall

**Presiding Officer** 

In the Matter of Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC

#### SERVICE LIST

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

## ALGONQUIN GAS TRANSMISSION, LLC

Docket Nos. 2019-008, 009, 010, 011, 012, 013

Weymouth

<u>REPRESENTATIVE</u>

**PARTY** 

Michael H. Hayden, Esq. Morrison Mahoney LLP 250 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210-1181 PETITIONER (in 2019-008) Ten Residents Group

mhayden@morrisonmahoney.com

Margaret Bellafiore 49 Caldwell Street Weymouth, MA 02191 Margaret@mobius.org PETITIONER (in 2019-008) Ten Residents Group

Elizabeth Moulds, Pro Se 70 Winter Street Hingham, MA 02043 <u>egmoulds@gmail.com</u> PETITIONER (in 2019-009) Hingham Ten Persons Group

Kerry T. Ryan, Special Counsel Bogle, Deascentis & Coughlin, P.C. 25 Foster Street, First Floor Quincy, MA 02169 ktr@b-dlaw.com PETITIONER (in 2019-010) Town of Hingham

Stephen J. Durkin, Esq. Assistant City Solicitor City of Quincy Quincy City Hall 1305 Hancock Street Quincy, MA 02169 sdurkin@quincyma.gov PETITIONER (in 2019-011) City of Quincy

John J. Goldrosen, Esq.

**PETITIONER** (in 2019-012)

In the Matter of Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC

OADR Docket Nos. 2019-008 through 2019-013 Order Regarding Further Scheduling

Page 7 of 9

Assistant Town Solicitor Town of Braintree One JFK Memorial Drive Braintree, MA 02184 jgoldrosen@braintreema.gov Town of Braintree

J. Raymond Miyares, Esq.
Bryan Bertram, Esq.
Ivria Glass Fried, Esq.
Katherine Stock, Esq.
Miyares and Harrington, LLP
40 Grove Street, Suite 190
Wellesley, MA 02482
ray@miyares-harrington.com

PETITIONER (in 2019-013)
Town of Weymouth & Weymouth Ten
Citizens Group

ray@miyares-harrington.com bbertram@miyares-harrington.com ifried@miyares-harrington.com kstock@miyares-harrington.com

Joseph Callanan, Esq.
Town Solicitor
Town of Weymouth
75 Middle Street
Weymouth, MA 02189
jcallanan@weymouth.ma.us

PETITIONER (in 2019-013)
Town of Weymouth & Weymouth Ten
Citizens Group

Ralph Child, Esq.
Nicholas Cramb, Esq.
Jennifer Mather McCarthy, Esq.
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.
One Financial Center
Boston, MA 02111
RChild@mintz.com
nccramb@mintz.com
jmmccarthy@mintz.com

APPLICANT Algonquin Gas Transmission LLC

Mike Dingle, Esq., Chief, Litigation MacDara Fallon, Senior Counsel Jenny E. Outman, Senior Counsel Lauren Karam, Counsel MassDEP Office of General Counsel One Winter Street **DEPARTMENT** 

#### In the Matter of Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC

OADR Docket Nos. 2019-008 through 2019-013 Order Regarding Further Scheduling

Page 8 of 9

Boston, MA 02108 mike.dingle@state.ma.us macdara.fallon@mass.gov jenny.e.outman@mass.gov lauren.karam@mass.gov

Cc:

Thomas Cushing, Permit Chief Bureau of Air & Waste MassDEP Southeast Regional Office 20 Riverside Drive Lakeville, MA 02347 thomas.cushing@mass.gov **DEPARTMENT** 

Shaun Walsh, Chief Regional Counsel MassDEP/Southeast Regional Office Office of General Counsel 20 Riverside Drive Lakeville, MA 02347 <a href="mailto:shaun.walsh@mass.gov">shaun.walsh@mass.gov</a> **DEPARTMENT** 

Leslie DeFilippis, Paralegal MassDEP/Office of General Counsel One Winter Street Boston, MA 02108 Leslie.defilippis@mass.gov DEPARTMENT

OADR Docket Nos. 2019-008 through 2019-013 Order Regarding Further Scheduling